INTERNATIO	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	RITY		'V <sub>S</sub> ,					
То:				PCT PCT					
			W INTERNAT	RITTEN OPINION OF THE FIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
	,			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)						
	agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION						
H2346-	·01		See paragraph 2 below						
	pplication No.	International filing date (d	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/JP	2005/006466	01.04.2005		08.04.2004					
International P	atent Classification (IPC) or both	national classification and	LIPC:	<u></u>					
Applicant									
MATSUS	HITA TOSHIBA PI	CTURE DISPLA	Y CO. LT	D.					
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:									
Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									
					$\Box$	<b>n</b>		ra to noverty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
					$\overline{\boxtimes}$		of invention		
	Box No. V Reasoned sta applicability;	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI Certain docu								
	Box No. VII Certain defec	Certain defects in the international application							
	SOX NO. VIII CEITAIN OBSEL	vations on the international	application						
2. FURT	THER ACTION		:						
than th	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this writter	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	ther options, see Form PCT/ISA		ny date, whichever e	xpires later.					
3. For fur	ther details, see notes to Form Po	CT/ISA/220.		•					
me and mailir	ng address of the ISA/JP	TA A	uthorized officer						
		i i							

Telephone No.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006466

	101/012003/0004	<del>,                                    </del>
Bo	No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	it was
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
1	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (t	under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the clainvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	aimed
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been file furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	d or on as
4.	Additional comments:	
		1
		ı
		ļ
		ſ
	1	- 1
		- 1
		- [
		- [
		- 1
<u>.                                    </u>		
		- 1

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006466

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)		Claims	3-5	YES	
			Claims	1-2	NO	
Inventive step (IS)		step (IS)	Claims		YES	
ı			Claims	1-5	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-5	YES		
			Claims		NO YES	

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-299044, A (Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.), 11 October, 2002 (11.10.02), the claims 1, 3 and 4, paragraphs [0038], [0039], [0041] and [0042], Fig. 1
Document 2: JP, 2001-91726, A (Fuji Electric Co., Ltd.), 6 April, 2001 (06.04.01), Fig. 1
Document 3: JP, 9-245511, A (Idemitsu Kosan Co., Ltd.), 19 September, 1997 (19.09.97), page 16, lines 25-30, Fig. 2

#### Claim 1

Document 1 (paragraph [0038] and Fig. 1) describes an electroluminescent display device where, by disposing a light-shielding wall 70 having a reflective layer 72 on its side surface between color-filter layers for colors, the portion of light passing through a second electrode 42 formed on a light-emitting layer 34 and emitted out that goes to the said side surface of the said light-shielding wall is not absorbed but reflected by that side surface, and the said portion of light is emitted out from a transparent sealing member 200 as light from the pixel region, to enhance the efficiency of use of light emitted out of the light-emitting layer.

In addition, according to Fig. 1 in the above-mentioned document, the said side having a reflective function is formed in contact with the second electrode.

Accordingly, the subject matter of the above claim does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of document 1.

#### Claim 2

Document 1 (claim 3 and paragraph [0039]) describes a feature wherein a black layer is formed on a surface 74 opposite a transparent substrate 80 of a light-shielding wall to improve the contrast.

Accordingly, the subject matter of the above claim does not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of the above-mentioned description in document 1.

#### Claims 3 and 4

A person skilled in the art could have easily selected aluminum or silver as a material for a reflective layer, and decided the film-thickness of a reflective layer according to the material.

### Claim 5

Document 1 (paragraph [0041]) mentions that, apart from a color-filter layer, a color-conversion filter that converts incident light to light with a desired wavelength may be adopted as a color element 82.

As described in the above-mentioned parts of documents 2 and 3, a color-filter layer layered on a color-conversion layer is a commonly used technology in a color electroluminescent element of a color-conversion system.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006466

		PC1/JP2005/006466
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inverdatations and explanations supporting such statement	
,	Accordingly, the subject matter of the above claim is mere	ly an application of commonly-
used to	ciniology to the invention described in document 1, and the	effect is not beyond expectation
	Accordingly, the said subject matter does not appear to inv	olve an inventive step.
	•	
	·	
	•	
	·	
	•	
		•
		•
		**